

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/507,167 | 07/18/2005 | Jerzy Paszkowski | 1392/10/7 PCT/US | 4094 |
| 25297 7590 08/09/2007 JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A. SUITE 1200, UNIVERSITY TOWER | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | FORMAN, BETTY J | |
| 3100 TOWER DURHAM, NO | BOULEVARD 27707 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1634 | |
| | | • | | |
| | • | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/09/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/507,167 | PASZKOWSKI ET | AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | BJ Forman | 1634 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence add | lress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: le, cause the application to become ABAN | TION. / be timely filed S from the mailing date of this cor DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | * | | | | |
| | —. is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | • | s prosecution as to the | merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | · | · | ılıciirə iə | | | |
| | an panto gasyro, rose cia | ., | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application | n. | | • | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | • | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) Laim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | • | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | | the Examiner | , | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | = : : | | R 1 121(d) | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | l9(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | • | • | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received in Appl | ication No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ority documents have been red | ceived in this National S | tage | | | |
| application from the International Burea | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not rec | eived. | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | · () · | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Com | mary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/M | ail Date | • | | | |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | mal Patent Application | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/507,167

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claims 1-3, 9-14, drawn to a microcapillary having probes immobilized on an inner surface.

Group 2, claims 4-8, 15-18, drawn to methods of hybridization.

Group 3, claims 19-21, drawn to methods of making a microcapillary hybridization chamber.

Group 4, claims 22-24, drawn to method for controlling stringency.

Group 5, claims 25-28, drawn to an apparatus for detecting hybridization .

The inventions listed as Groups 1-5 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups 1-5 appears to be that they all relate to a microcapillary having probes immobilized on an inner surface.

However, Kuhr et al teach the microcapillary wherein each region of the capillary has the same probe immobilized (Column 2, lines 10-67). Therefore the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups 1-5 does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCR Rule 13.2 because it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,167

Art Unit: 1634

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims.

Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

Application/Control Number: 10/507,167

Art Unit: 1634

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BJ Forman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0741. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 TO 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

BJ Forman, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634 August 6, 2007